

Bis[(*E*)-4-bromo-2-(ethoxyiminomethyl)-phenolato- κ^2N,O^1]copper(II)

Shang-Sheng Gong, Wen-Kui Dong,* Jun-Feng Tong, Li Li and Jian-Chao Wu

School of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou 730070, People's Republic of China
Correspondence e-mail: dongwk@126.com


Received 9 October 2009; accepted 25 October 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 298$ K; mean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.005$ Å; R factor = 0.031; wR factor = 0.080; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.9.

The title compound, $[Cu(C_9H_9BrNO_2)_2]$, is a centrosymmetric mononuclear copper(II) complex. The Cu atom is four-coordinated in a *trans*- CuN_2O_2 square-planar geometry by two phenolate O and two oxime N atoms from two symmetry-related *N,O*-bidentate (*E*)-4-bromo-2-(ethoxyiminomethyl)-phenolate oxime-type ligands. An interesting feature of the crystal structure is the centrosymmetric intermolecular $Cu \cdots O$ interaction [3.382 (1) Å], which establishes an infinite chain structure along the *b* axis.

Related literature

For background to oximes, see: Cervera *et al.* (1997); Chaudhuri, (2003); Costes *et al.* (1998); Kukushkin *et al.* (1996). For related structures, see: Dong *et al.* (2009). For the synthesis, see: Wang *et al.* (2008); Zhao *et al.* (2009).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[Cu(C_9H_9BrNO_2)_2]$
 $M_r = 549.70$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
 $a = 10.0682$ (13) Å
 $b = 5.4998$ (8) Å
 $c = 17.990$ (2) Å
 $\beta = 96.846$ (1)°

$V = 989.1$ (2) Å³
 $Z = 2$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 5.17$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 298$ K
 $0.41 \times 0.21 \times 0.14$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000 diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
 $T_{min} = 0.226$, $T_{max} = 0.531$

4684 measured reflections
1741 independent reflections
1356 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{int} = 0.041$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.080$
 $S = 1.01$
1741 reflections

125 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.25$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.55$ e Å⁻³

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the Foundation of the Education Department of Gansu Province (0904-11) and the 'Jing Lan' Talent Engineering Funds of Lanzhou Jiaotong University, which are gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: OM2289).

References

- Cervera, B., Ruiz, R., Lloret, F., Julve, M., Cano, J., Faus, J., Bois, C. & Mrozinski, J. (1997). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 395–401.
Chaudhuri, P. (2003). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **243**, 143–168.
Costes, J.-P., Dahan, F., Dupuis, A. & Laurent, J.-P. (1998). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 1307–1314.
Dong, W.-K., Tong, J.-F., An, L.-L., Wu, J.-C. & Yao, J. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **E65**, m945.
Kukushkin, V. Yu., Tudela, D. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (1996). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **156**, 333–362.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINTE*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Wang, J.-S., Jiang, Y.-L., Dong, W.-K., Xu, L. & Kong, A.-P. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **E64**, o1794.
Zhao, L., Dong, W.-K., Wu, J.-C., Sun, Y.-X. & Xu, L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **E65**, o2462.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m1471 [doi:10.1107/S160053680904433X]

Bis[(*E*)-4-bromo-2-(ethoxyiminomethyl)phenolato- κ^2N,O^1]copper(II)

S.-S. Gong, W.-K. Dong, J.-F. Tong, L. Li and J.-C. Wu

Comment

Oximes are a traditional class of chelating ligands widely used in coordination and analytical chemistry and extraction metallurgy (Kukushkin *et al.*, 1996; Chaudhuri, 2003). Due to their marked ability to form bridges between metal ions, oxime-containing ligands may be used to obtain polynuclear compounds in the field of molecular magnetism and supramolecular chemistry (Cervera *et al.*, 1997; Costes *et al.*, 1998). As a continuation of our study (Wang *et al.*, 2008; Zhao *et al.*, 2009) on oxime-type compounds, the title mononuclear copper(II) complex (Fig. 1), is reported in this paper.

The title compound is a centrosymmetric mononuclear copper(II) complex. The copper(II) ion, lying on the inversion centre, is four-coordinated in a *trans*-CuN₂O₂ square-planar geometry, with two phenolate O and two oxime N atoms from two *N,O*-bidentate oxime-type ligands. All bond lengths and angles are within normal ranges. The Cu—O and Cu—N bond lengths are 1.880 (2) Å and 1.994 (3) Å, respectively, which are comparable to those observed in a similar Schiff base copper(II) complex (Dong *et al.*, 2009).

The interesting feature of the crystal structure, as shown in Fig. 2, is the centrosymmetric intermolecular Cu...O [3.382 (1) Å] interaction, which forms an infinite one-dimensional chain structure along the *b* axis.

Experimental

(*E*)-5-Bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde *O*-ethyl oxime (HL) was synthesized according to the analogous method (Wang *et al.*, 2008; Zhao *et al.*, 2009). A blue solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate (1.7 mg, 0.008 mmol) in methanol (3 ml) was added dropwise to a solution of HL (2.1 mg, 0.009 mmol) in methanol (4 ml) at room temperature. The color of the mixing solution turned to yellow immediately, then turned to brown slowly and was allowed to stand at room temperature for several days. With evaporation of the solvent, dark-brown needle-like single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained. IR: ν C=N, 1608 cm⁻¹, ν Ar—O, 1242 cm⁻¹, ν Cu—N, 445 cm⁻¹ and ν Cu—O, 424 cm⁻¹. Yield, 47.1%. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₈H₁₈Br₂CuN₂O₄: C, 39.33; H, 3.30; Cu, 11.56; N, 5.10. Found: C, 39.20; H, 3.38; Cu, 11.62; N, 4.87.

Refinement

Non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. H atoms were treated as riding atoms with distances C—H = 0.96 Å (CH₃), 0.97 Å (CH₂) and 0.93 Å (CH). The isotropic displacement parameters for all H atoms were set equal to 1.2 or 1.5 U_{eq} of the carrier atom.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound with the atom numbering scheme [Symmetry codes: $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$]. Unlabelled atoms are related to their labelled counterparts by the inversion operation. Displacement ellipsoids for non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the 30% probability level.




Fig. 2. Packing diagram for the title compound, showing an infinite one-dimensional chain structure formed by short Cu...O contact viewed along the *b* axis. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted for clarity.

Bis[(*E*)-4-bromo-2-(ethoxyiminomethyl)phenolato- κ^2N,O^1]copper(II)

Crystal data

[Cu(C₉H₉BrNO₂)₂]

$M_r = 549.70$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$

Hall symbol: $-P\ 2yn$

$a = 10.0682$ (13) Å

$b = 5.4998$ (8) Å

$c = 17.990$ (2) Å

$\beta = 96.8460$ (10)°

$V = 989.1$ (2) Å³

$Z = 2$

$F_{000} = 542$

$D_x = 1.846$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 1900 reflections

$\theta = 2.2$ – 25.1 °

$\mu = 5.17$ mm⁻¹

$T = 298$ K

Needle-shaped, black

$0.41 \times 0.21 \times 0.14$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1000
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Monochromator: graphite

$T = 298$ K

φ and ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)

$T_{\min} = 0.226$, $T_{\max} = 0.531$

4684 measured reflections

1741 independent reflections

1356 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.041$

$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0$ °

$\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.2$ °

$h = -11 \rightarrow 7$

$k = -6 \rightarrow 6$

$l = -21 \rightarrow 21$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

$$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$$

$$wR(F^2) = 0.080$$

$$S = 1.01$$

1741 reflections

125 parameters

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

H-atom parameters constrained

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0416P)^2 + 0.0351P]$$

$$\text{where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$$

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.55 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cu1	0.5000	0.5000	0.5000	0.04220 (19)
Br1	-0.06756 (4)	0.09316 (8)	0.22617 (2)	0.06250 (18)
N1	0.5039 (2)	0.2157 (5)	0.43148 (14)	0.0399 (6)
O1	0.3323 (2)	0.5904 (4)	0.45038 (14)	0.0573 (7)
O2	0.6088 (2)	0.0414 (4)	0.44170 (12)	0.0463 (6)
C1	0.4064 (3)	0.1341 (6)	0.38559 (17)	0.0408 (8)
H1	0.4186	-0.0143	0.3625	0.049*
C2	0.2803 (3)	0.2546 (6)	0.36725 (16)	0.0374 (7)
C3	0.2497 (3)	0.4733 (6)	0.40143 (19)	0.0441 (8)
C4	0.1206 (3)	0.5724 (7)	0.3809 (2)	0.0550 (10)
H4	0.0977	0.7171	0.4029	0.066*
C5	0.0289 (3)	0.4618 (7)	0.3298 (2)	0.0508 (9)
H5	-0.0552	0.5307	0.3176	0.061*
C6	0.0613 (3)	0.2477 (6)	0.29645 (18)	0.0420 (8)
C7	0.1851 (3)	0.1453 (6)	0.31422 (18)	0.0439 (8)
H7	0.2062	0.0018	0.2909	0.053*
C8	0.7242 (3)	0.1298 (7)	0.4114 (2)	0.0545 (10)
H8A	0.7488	0.2894	0.4315	0.065*
H8B	0.7070	0.1416	0.3573	0.065*
C9	0.8336 (4)	-0.0500 (8)	0.4337 (2)	0.0677 (12)
H9A	0.8477	-0.0626	0.4873	0.102*
H9B	0.9146	0.0034	0.4156	0.102*
H9C	0.8085	-0.2061	0.4126	0.102*

supplementary materials

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cu1	0.0333 (3)	0.0474 (4)	0.0453 (4)	0.0111 (3)	0.0021 (2)	-0.0040 (3)
Br1	0.0549 (3)	0.0701 (3)	0.0589 (3)	-0.00385 (19)	-0.00800 (18)	-0.00911 (19)
N1	0.0340 (14)	0.0437 (17)	0.0429 (16)	0.0140 (12)	0.0079 (12)	0.0043 (13)
O1	0.0416 (13)	0.0519 (16)	0.0738 (17)	0.0155 (11)	-0.0115 (12)	-0.0206 (13)
O2	0.0385 (12)	0.0467 (14)	0.0542 (14)	0.0163 (11)	0.0083 (10)	0.0033 (11)
C1	0.0415 (18)	0.040 (2)	0.0425 (19)	0.0084 (15)	0.0117 (15)	-0.0025 (15)
C2	0.0345 (16)	0.042 (2)	0.0368 (18)	0.0042 (14)	0.0067 (13)	0.0007 (14)
C3	0.0372 (18)	0.045 (2)	0.050 (2)	0.0038 (16)	0.0046 (15)	-0.0013 (17)
C4	0.0411 (19)	0.050 (2)	0.070 (3)	0.0150 (17)	-0.0076 (17)	-0.0134 (19)
C5	0.0367 (18)	0.050 (2)	0.064 (2)	0.0097 (16)	-0.0010 (16)	-0.0011 (19)
C6	0.0356 (17)	0.051 (2)	0.0390 (18)	-0.0034 (15)	0.0003 (14)	0.0010 (16)
C7	0.049 (2)	0.043 (2)	0.0414 (19)	0.0039 (16)	0.0126 (16)	-0.0029 (16)
C8	0.0437 (19)	0.069 (3)	0.053 (2)	0.0164 (18)	0.0150 (17)	0.0045 (19)
C9	0.045 (2)	0.081 (3)	0.079 (3)	0.025 (2)	0.016 (2)	0.013 (2)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Cu1—O1 ⁱ	1.880 (2)	C3—C4	1.417 (4)
Cu1—O1	1.880 (2)	C4—C5	1.366 (5)
Cu1—N1 ⁱ	1.994 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300
Cu1—N1	1.994 (3)	C5—C6	1.378 (5)
Br1—C6	1.901 (3)	C5—H5	0.9300
N1—C1	1.285 (4)	C6—C7	1.370 (4)
N1—O2	1.422 (3)	C7—H7	0.9300
O1—C3	1.307 (4)	C8—C9	1.499 (5)
O2—C8	1.427 (4)	C8—H8A	0.9700
C1—C2	1.435 (4)	C8—H8B	0.9700
C1—H1	0.9300	C9—H9A	0.9600
C2—C3	1.402 (4)	C9—H9B	0.9600
C2—C7	1.405 (4)	C9—H9C	0.9600
O1 ⁱ —Cu1—O1	180.000 (1)	C3—C4—H4	119.0
O1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1 ⁱ	89.80 (10)	C4—C5—C6	119.8 (3)
O1—Cu1—N1 ⁱ	90.20 (10)	C4—C5—H5	120.1
O1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	90.20 (10)	C6—C5—H5	120.1
O1—Cu1—N1	89.80 (10)	C7—C6—C5	120.4 (3)
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	180.0	C7—C6—Br1	120.0 (3)
C1—N1—O2	110.2 (2)	C5—C6—Br1	119.6 (2)
C1—N1—Cu1	127.0 (2)	C6—C7—C2	120.7 (3)
O2—N1—Cu1	121.18 (18)	C6—C7—H7	119.6
C3—O1—Cu1	130.8 (2)	C2—C7—H7	119.6
N1—O2—C8	110.3 (2)	O2—C8—C9	106.1 (3)
N1—C1—C2	125.0 (3)	O2—C8—H8A	110.5
N1—C1—H1	117.5	C9—C8—H8A	110.5

C2—C1—H1	117.5	O2—C8—H8B	110.5
C3—C2—C7	119.8 (3)	C9—C8—H8B	110.5
C3—C2—C1	122.0 (3)	H8A—C8—H8B	108.7
C7—C2—C1	118.2 (3)	C8—C9—H9A	109.5
O1—C3—C2	124.3 (3)	C8—C9—H9B	109.5
O1—C3—C4	118.4 (3)	H9A—C9—H9B	109.5
C2—C3—C4	117.3 (3)	C8—C9—H9C	109.5
C5—C4—C3	122.0 (3)	H9A—C9—H9C	109.5
C5—C4—H4	119.0	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5
O1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—C1	168.7 (3)	C7—C2—C3—O1	-178.8 (3)
O1—Cu1—N1—C1	-11.3 (3)	C1—C2—C3—O1	2.3 (5)
O1 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—O2	4.8 (2)	C7—C2—C3—C4	0.9 (5)
O1—Cu1—N1—O2	-175.2 (2)	C1—C2—C3—C4	-178.0 (3)
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—O1—C3	-168.8 (3)	O1—C3—C4—C5	179.6 (3)
N1—Cu1—O1—C3	11.2 (3)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.2 (6)
C1—N1—O2—C8	113.3 (3)	C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.2 (6)
Cu1—N1—O2—C8	-80.4 (3)	C4—C5—C6—C7	0.0 (5)
O2—N1—C1—C2	174.8 (3)	C4—C5—C6—Br1	179.3 (3)
Cu1—N1—C1—C2	9.4 (5)	C5—C6—C7—C2	0.7 (5)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-2.9 (5)	Br1—C6—C7—C2	-178.6 (2)
N1—C1—C2—C7	178.1 (3)	C3—C2—C7—C6	-1.2 (5)
Cu1—O1—C3—C2	-9.0 (5)	C1—C2—C7—C6	177.7 (3)
Cu1—O1—C3—C4	171.2 (3)	N1—O2—C8—C9	172.7 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

